

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/700,475	01/11/2001	Timothy D. Evans	PQC-199US	5504
23122	7590 10/16/2002			
RATNERPR			EXAMINER	
P O BOX 980 VALLEY FOI	RGE, PA 19482-0980		ALVO, MARC S	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1731	11
			DATE MAILED: 10/16/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·			mx-11		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/700,475	EVANS ET AL.			
, Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Steve Alvo	1731			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ars on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 01 October 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.					
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:					
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) they present additional claims without cance NOTE:	eling a corresponding numb	ber of finally rejected clai	ms.		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).					
5.⊠ The a)⊠ affidavit, b)□ exhibit, or c)⊠ request for application in condition for allowance because: S		n considered but does N	OT place the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SC	DLELY to issues which we	ere newly		
 For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims were proposed. 	nt(s) a)⊡ will not be entere vould be rejected is provid	ed or b) will be entered ed below or appended.	and an		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	3:				
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected:					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statem 10. Other:	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper N	Steve Alvo Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1731			

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303) 09/700,475

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: A comparison has not been made to the 1:16 of Siminoski which is very close to the claimed 1:15 ratio. Also is not enough information is provided in the Declaration. The Declaration of Dr. Raymond C. Francis states that there was an internal communication that was not intended to be publically disclosed. However, it was not stated whether or not National Silicates Ltd. agreed to keep the report confidential. It is not clear who had access to the communication. It is not clear as to what was the relationship between Dr. Francis and National Silicates Ltd. Dr. Francis states that the subject matter was first published in January 1998. Who were the authors of the article? Such publication should be submitted to the Patent Office for consideration.